

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--|
| 08/879,070 | 06/19/1997 | JEROME D JOHNSON | 7709.130US01 9054 EXAMINER | | |
| 22854 | 7590 02/24/2005 | | | | |
| • | ANSEN & SUMNER, | KALINOWSKI, ALEXANDER G | | | |
| 225 SOUTH SIXTH ST MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| • | , | | 3626 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | - | Application | n No | Applicant(a) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|----|--|--|
| | | Application | | Applicant(s) | | | |
| 1 | | 08/879,07 | ' 0 | JOHNSON ET AL. | | | |
| 1. | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Alexander | Kalinowski | 3626 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | nication appears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum so tre to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. 30) days, a reply within the stat- statutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app | ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) dayill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) fil | ed on <u>11/29/2004</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL. | 2b)⊠ This action is n | on-final. | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) 1-7 and 11-13 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 2,3,6,7,12 and 13 are subjected. | are withdrawn from co | | t. | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected to | e: a) accepted or b) ection to the drawing(s) t g the correction is requir | ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) | ı. | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions | y documents have bee y documents have bee s of the priority docume onal Bureau (PCT Rul | n received. In received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Infori | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: claims 2 and 3 are directed to distinct species of the generic feature of the value input associated with the plurality of desired options corresponds.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Claims 6 and 7 are directed to distinct species of the generic feature of wherein the configuration rules comprise.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 5 is generic.

claims 12 and 13 are directed to distinct species of the generic feature of the value input associated with the plurality of desired options corresponds.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 11 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Application/Control Number: 08/879,070

Art Unit: 3626

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Kalinowski, whose telephone number is (703) 305-2398. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM. In addition, the examiner can be reached on alternate Fridays.

If any attempt to reached the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas, can be reached on (703) 305-9588. The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-7687 (for official communications including After Final communications labeled "Box AF").

Application/Control Number: 08/879,070

Art Unit: 3626

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th Floor, receptionist.

Alexander Kalinowski

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3626

2/21/2005